## **FACILITATION SKILLS FOR COLLABORATIVE MANAGEMENT**

A TCD "Skills" Course - Spring 2016

Course number: LAS 6291 (Sect. 009F)

**Time/Location:** Tuesdays, Periods 3-5 (9:35-12:35) in room 376 Grinter Hall

Instructors: Jonathan L. Dain - 388 Grinter Hall: 352-273-4713: jdain@latam.ufl.edu

Rebecca Williams – 352-226-6368: rjwillia@ufl.edu

Office hours: By appointment

**Course Description**: Professionals working in Conservation and/or Development must know how to work collaboratively as members of in-house teams, inter-organizational partnerships and projects requiring engagement with diverse stakeholder groups. Effective collaboration\* requires a specific set of skills that range from designing and running effective meetings to helping diverse and often competing interests work and plan together. Graduate students in this class will develop and strengthen facilitation skills and approaches through practice and reflection. By the end of the class participants should feel more confident leading groups, facilitating group decision-making and being effective collaborative problem-solvers both off and on campus. \*Including in research

### **Evaluation** (see activity descriptions):

| Class participation                          | 20% (200 points) |
|--|------------------|
| Canvas on-line discussion of readings        | 10% (100 points) |
| Learning Journal                             | 15% (150 points) |
| "Conversation Café" or Field Research Clinic | 15% (150 points) |
| Observation exercise and report              | 10% (100 points) |
| Facilitation event (Group Project)           | 30% (300 points) |

## **Learning Approach\***

- Focus on building practical skills and using systematic reflection
- Experiential learning spaces learn by doing
- Course is designed to model elements of a collaborative, facilitative approach to working with people
- "Adaptive Teaching" listed sessions and/or readings may be adapted to reflect needs of the group. We will not stray from the core vision of the course but may make adjustments. Advance notice will be given if any changes occur.
- Context for activities and discussion is primarily (not exclusively) Development and/or Conservation practice in the Americas & Africa
- Capacity-building in Facilitation involves both Personal and Professional Development

# **Course Objectives:**

- 1. Introduction and key concepts
  - 1.1. Define and describe "impartial" facilitation, facilitative leadership, and facilitative training/teaching.
  - 1.2. Describe the role of the facilitator in impartial facilitation, facilitative leadership, and facilitative training/teaching.
  - 1.3. Explain process versus content and the role of the facilitator in each.
  - 1.4. Explain participation, consensus, and framing and the role of the facilitator in facilitating each.
  - 1.5. Explain the role of group dynamics, group processes, and group decision-making in facilitation.
  - 1.6. Discuss the role of values and perceptions in group processes and facilitation.
  - 1.7. Discuss the role of positions versus interests in group processes and facilitation.
  - 1.8. Discuss the role of gender, class, age, culture and other characteristics in group dynamics and planning for facilitation.

#### 2. Facilitation skills

- 2.1. Describe and implement methods for engaging full participation in a group.
- 2.2. Discuss and practice the role of empathetic listening in group processes and facilitation.
- 2.3. Demonstrate ways of dealing with conflict during facilitation.
- 2.4. Describe and implement various tools and strategies for facilitation, including when, where, why, and how to use them.

## 3. Facilitation practice

- 3.1. Plan for a facilitated event including logistical, agenda, and session planning, and for short-term versus long-term facilitation (meeting planning versus process planning, engaging stakeholders over a long term).
- 3.2. Discuss the role of social media in facilitation.
- 3.3. Discuss issues and demonstrate techniques for facilitating contentious subjects.
- 3.4. Conduct a facilitation event using impartial, leadership-based, or training facilitation.

## **Evaluation of Objectives:**

| Activity                                       | Objective             |  |  |
|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| Class participation                            | All                   |  |  |
| Canvas online discussion of readings           | 1.1-1.8; 2.1-2.4      |  |  |
| Learning journal                               | All                   |  |  |
| Field Research Clinic or Conversation Café Day | 2.4-2.5; 3.1, 3.4     |  |  |
| Observation exercise and report                | 1.2-8; 2.1-2.4        |  |  |
| Facilitation event (group project)             | 2.1, 2.4-2.4; 3.1-3.4 |  |  |

| _  | lass/<br>Date                                 | Topic/Theme   | Concept (s)   | Tool(s)   | Activity   | Reading  | Assignment  |
|----|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|
|    | Module I – Introduction to Group Facilitation |   |   |   |  |  |   |
| 1. | Jan.<br>5                                     | Introduction to Facilitation Class                  | -Building Trust , Curiosity and Engagement: Group building -Link to Development and Conservation Practice | <ul> <li>Ice breakers</li> <li>Expectations</li> <li>Food</li> <li>Norms</li> <li>Room<br/>arrangement</li> </ul> | -Floor map -Objectives, ExpectSyllabus review -Assignments & responsibilities -Define group Norms -Reflection Exercise | None   | <ul> <li>Sign-ups:</li> <li>Snack</li> <li>Canvas Facilitation</li> <li>Individual Meeting I</li> <li>Canvas assigned</li> <li>Pre-class questionnaire</li> <li>Purchase texts</li> </ul> |
| 2. | Jan.<br>12                                    | Understanding Facilitation                          | What is Facilitation?   | Facilitated discussion  | Facilitation principles –facilitated discussion  The "Conversation Café" (facilitation practice)                       | Kaner: Foreword, Intro<br>& Grounding Principles<br>(pp. xi-39)  Bens: Chpt. 1 (pp 7-33) | Individual Meeting I  Observation Exercise Assigned   |
|    |   | ,   | Modul   | e II. Key Skills and  | Concepts   | l  |   |
| 3. | Jan.<br>19                                    | Listening: The core Skill                           | "Empathic" Listening  | Empathic Listening  | Listening Practice Guest speaker   | Covey: Chpt. 5  Kaner: Chapter 4   | FRC Event & Conversation<br>Café's Assigned   |
|    |   | Facilitators Panel                                  | Neutrality/Impartiality Positions vs. Interests   | Open Discussion   | Cucus speaker  | E. Sirolli (TEDtalks)  Pyser: Chpt 13 (IAF pp. 205-223)                                  | Individual Meetings cont.   |
| 4. | Jan.<br>26                                    | Group Dynamics &<br>Dynamics of decision-<br>Making | Task and Maintenance Functions The Diamond of decision-making The "Groan Zone"                            | Dealing with the Groan Zone  Open Discussion  | Group Dynamics<br>roleplay  Advance the Thinking<br>Discussion - FRC   | Kaner: (Chpts 1-2)  Mind Tools: Benne and Sheats' Group Roles  Wooley et al: Why         | Establish plan for FRC and Conversation Café Events   |

| 5. | Feb 2      | Framing & Reframing  Dealing with conflict dynamics: interpersonal and group      | Framing/Reframing Consensus Interpersonal conflict Group conflict   | Issue Framing Challenging behaviors and dynamics Challenging Behaviors and Dynamics | Framing case studies Challenging behaviors and dynamics exercise Difficult moments exercise | Some teams are smarter than others. NYT  Susan Cain "Power of Introverts": https://www.ted.com/tal ks/susan_cain_the_power_of_introverts  Ury: Don't reject, reframe!  Vedantam_NPR  Kaner Chpts 14 & 17 | 1-page FRC or<br>Conversation Café Day<br>plan due (Topics and<br>responsibilities) |
|----|------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 6. | Feb.<br>9  | Values, Perceptions, and Bias   | Human bias  | Small group<br>discussion and<br>debate  Consensus Building                         | "Drama by the River"  Facilitating Open Discussion  | TEDTalk – DKahneman  Tierney Do you suffer from decision Fatigue? _NYT  Heath: Three Surprise about Change_Switch Chpt 1   |   |
| 7. | Feb.<br>16 | Engaging full participation  Gender and intersectionality                         | Participatory planning Pluralism: Gender and other social variables | Participation Analysis  dule III. Facilitation                                      | TBA Practice  | Goleman, Chpt 6 DEVELOPMENT Kivel Kaner Chpt. 16   | Conversation Café Day<br>(19 <sup>th</sup> and 20 <sup>th</sup> )                   |
| 8. | Feb.<br>23 | Setting objectives  Participatory planning tools for facilitation  MIDTERM REVIEW | Objectives  Participatory planning                                  | Setting objectives  | Setting objectives role play  Brain writing   | Kaner: Chapts. 6&7 Arnold & Bartles  | Field Research Clinic<br>Feb 26 <sup>th</sup><br>Final Project Assigned             |

|     | eb 29-<br>1ar 4                   | SPRING BREAK NO<br>CLASS  | SPRING BREAK NO CLASS  | SPRING BREAK NO<br>CLASS   | SPRING BREAK NO<br>CLASS  | SPRING BREAK NO<br>CLASS  | SPRING BREAK NO<br>CLASS  |  |  |
|-----|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 9.  | Mar.<br>8                         | Participatory tools and strategies for facilitation                 | MSP Case Descriptions  | Scenarios  World café  Alternatives to open discussion  Process facilitation | Tool analysis and gallery walk                                  | Reed: Stakeholder<br>Partic Lit Review<br>Kaner Chpt. 9                     | FRC/Conversation Café<br>reports Due  Draft Group Project<br>Proposal due (topic, need<br>addressed & objectives) |  |  |
| 10. | Mar.<br>15                        | Effective meetings  Facilitating multi- stakeholder group processes | Needs Assessment<br>Agenda design and planning<br>Beginnings, Middles and Ends | Participant interviews Setting Objectives                                    | Agenda design and Planning                                      | Kaner: 11-12  Bracken + Tuecke (IAF Hndbk pp. 57-89)                        | Observation Report Due  |  |  |
|     |                                   |   | Module IV. Fac   | ilitation when Issue   | s are Contentious   |   |   |  |  |
| 11. | Mar.<br>22                        | When there is existing conflict or explicit tension - Mediation     | Managing Conflict  | Mediation  | Mediation Exercise  | Kaner Chpt. 15  | Final Project Proposal Due - Agenda and Session Plan(s)   |  |  |
| 12. | Mar.<br>29                        | Conflict while facilitating and contentious subjects                | Interpersonal conflict Group conflict Managing conflict                        | Triangle of Interests  | Contentious subjects role play/case study practice              | Weisbord and Janoff Indigenous Facilitation and Mediation Project Wilkerson |   |  |  |
| 13. | Apr 5                             | The role of technology and social media in facilitation             | Social Media and groups  | Social Media - TBA   | ТВА   | TBA  Mashable article   |   |  |  |
|     | Module V. Pulling it all Together |   |  |  |   |   |   |  |  |
| 14. | Apr.<br>12                        | Observation/<br>Interviews<br>Facilitation Event                    | Debriefing Facilitation<br>Experiences   | Presentations  Group discussion and reflection                               | Discussion of<br>Lessons Learned<br>Presentation of<br>projects | Kaner: Chapts.13-15  Leach, Chpt 6 in Dukes (ed.) CBC  Innes & Booher       | Final Facilitation/Group<br>Project Report due<br>Individual meeting II   |  |  |

| 15. Apr. | Pulling it All Together | Facilitation Learning & Next | Varied | Evaluation Activities | None | Individual meeting II |
|----------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|
| 19       |                         | Steps                        |        |                       |      |                       |
|          | Evaluation              |                              |        |                       |      |                       |
|          |                         |                              |        |                       |      |                       |

### **COURSE ASSIGNMENTS**

Facilitation Skills

Students will be evaluated based upon five sets of activities, each of which will be explained in more detail in class:

- **I. Class participation (20% 200 points):** Attendance is critical. One excused absence is allowed although not encouraged. Students are required to critically read all assigned materials prior to class and participate actively (to be defined) during in-class discussions, on-line discussions and in small/large group activities including simulations and role plays. Each student will meet individually with one of the instructors two times during the semester (August/September, November/December).
- II. On-Line Canvas facilitated discussion (10% 100 points total, 7-ish points each):
  - 1. Canvas: The learning that takes place in class and through readings is greatly enhanced by active discussion. Unfortunately, a once-per-week class rarely allows adequate time for dialogue. To better take advantage of the activities and readings selected, students will engage in web-based reflection and discussion, sometimes responding to specifically posed questions, other times reacting to the thoughts of others. Each week two students will serve as online facilitators of discussion and will determine its format. All postings must be completed by Sunday at midnight. It is expected that participants will review everyone's on-line postings before each Tuesday class; during class facilitators will have 10 minutes to run an activity or provide a short summary of the Canvas discussion. Back and forth dialogue is strongly encouraged on Canvas and postings should reflect your reaction to how readings relate to class themes and/or to experiences you may have had:
    - Ex: "I was watching a TEDtalk about a woman who started a non-profit and she talked about the way decision-making evolved over time as they used tools like those described by Kaner in this week's reading. Has anyone else seen these types of activities used? In what ways?"

Postings should **not** be summaries of readings:

• Ex: "Shuman lists five ways to encourage dialogue, they are: 1) Provide a...".

As always in such discussions, follow the basic rule of thumb: "Tough on issues, soft on people"

**III.** Learning Journal (15% - 150 points total, 10 points each): (LJs) are designed to help you and us monitor your learning during the semester. Unlike Canvas discussions, learning journals focus on "Ah-ha moments", questions,

observations and experiences. Reflections can be inspired by readings, class activities, participation in non-course related activities, talking or listening to someone, writing, or simply thinking (e.g. "I realized today that the dynamics of my graduate committee are more complex than I had imagined..."). Learning journal thoughts are <u>confidential</u> and will be seen only by the instructors. They are to be recorded and turned in <u>weekly</u>. During the semester there <u>may</u> be occasional expanded Learning Journal "reflections" assigned. LJs should be submitted electronically **as a Word file to Canvas by 11:59pm on the Thursday after class**.

- IV. Observation Exercise and Report (10% 100 points): An important methodological objective of the course is to provide students with as much practical experience as possible during the semester. You observation assignment involves BEING AN OBSERVER OR PARTICIPANT-OBSERVER in an outside-of-class event or series of events that involve(s) facilitation. The activity observed should be related to conservation and/or community development issues *if possible*, and might include a workshop, a planning or discussion meeting, a facilitated session in a conference or an organizational meeting. Examples include: Gainesville County Commission meetings, on-campus discussion groups, citizen's groups, church or community groups, research planning or collaboration events, etc.; there are many possibilities. You should attempt to identify your own observe opportunity and the activity *must be discussed with and approved by Jon or Becky*. The observation exercise should be done in pairs. The focus of the observations should be the facilitator(s) and the dynamics of the group. An observation guide will be posted on Canvas. You will write up your observation reports *individually* and submit them to Canvas.
- V. Field Research Clinic and Conversation Café Days (15% 150 points): Students in the class will practice facilitation outside of class via one of two activity options. The *Field Research Clinic* (FRC) is an annual event sponsored by the Center for Latin American Studies. It is designed to support and highlight graduate student field research and consists of two parts, a 2-hour facilitated workshop and a research poster session. Students choosing the FRC option will design, advertise and implement the workshop portion of the FRC to be held on the afternoon of Friday February 28<sup>th</sup>. Theme and methodology to be defined. The *Conversation Café* is a facilitated dialogue activity that can take many forms and cover many topics. Students choosing the Conversation Café option will design, advertise and implement a Conversation Café on one of two *Conversation Café Days*. For this assignment there will be three deliverables (submit to Canvas):
  - A 1-page plan of action for the FRC or Conversation Café. (5%, 50 points)
  - A 2-3 minute <u>group</u> reaction video after the event. This video should include all of your group members and be a
    reaction to what it was like to facilitate the event. Consider issues that you had, successes, and any other reactions.
    The best way to submit your video will be to upload the video (Smartphone video is acceptable) to YouTube or another
    video sharing service and provide the link in Canvas (under "Assignments"). (5%, 50 points)
  - An <u>individual</u> reflection (Word document). Your personal thoughts and "lessons learned" about both the event and the experience of working in your group. (5%, 50 points)

- **VI. Facilitation event (30% 300 points):** In groups of 4, the members of the class are responsible for conceptualizing and designing a facilitation event for the audience of their choice. The proposed due date is in mid-April (to be confirmed). The nature and focus of the facilitation event is to be defined by the class in consultation with the instructors and other relevant sources. Throughout the semester leading up to the event, students are responsible for developing, discussing and submitting analyses and plans related to the facilitation event. TO BE DISCUSSED IN CLASS. There will be four deliverables for this assignment (submit to Canvas):
  - 1. A 1-2 page project proposal including objectives, a brief needs assessment, the target audience or group, and a description of the event. (5%, 50 points)
  - 2. A complete project proposal including revised objectives, needs assessment, target audience or group, and description of event. Added complete agenda with session plans. (10%, 100 points)
  - 3. A final report.(10%, 100 points)
  - 4. An individual reflection.(5%, 50 points)

### MARK YOUR CALENDARS: Deadlines at a Glance

- Sundays by midnight: Weekly discussion responses due
- Thursdays by midnight: Weekly learning journal due
- Tuesdays by 9:35 am: All other class assignments due on the week indicated:
  - Week 5: 1-page FRC or Conversation Café Day plan due (Topics and responsibilities)
  - Week 9: FRC/Conversation Café reports due
  - o Week 9: Draft Group Project Proposal due (topic, need addressed & objectives)
  - o Week 10: Observation Report due
  - o Week 11: Final Project Proposal due
  - o Week 14: Final Facilitation/Group Project Report due

<sup>\*</sup> We practice "Adaptive Instruction" and reserve the right to change the order and content of sessions in order to better address the needs of the group and/or take advantage of unexpected opportunities. Students will be advised in advance of any and all changes. The overall class vision and approach will not be affected by any changes.

# Readings (Required text in bold)

LAS 6291 - Spring 2016

- Arnold, J. and Wendy-Lin Bartels (2014). *Participatory methods for measuring and monitoring governance*. Chpt 12 in: Barnes G. and Brian Child, Adaptive Cross-scalar Governance of Natural Resources Earthscan
- Arnstein, Sherry R. A Ladder of Citizen Participation. AIP Journal (July 1969) 216-224
- Beer, J.E and Eileen Stief (1997) The Mediator's Handbook New Society, Brittish Colombia
- Bens, Ingrid. (2005) *Understanding Facilitation*. Chpt 1 in: <u>Facilitating with Ease 2005</u>. Jossey Bass
- Borrini-Feyerabend, G. et. al. (2004) Sharing <u>Power. Learning by Doing in Co-management of Natural Resources Throughout the World</u>. IIED/IUCN/CEESP/CMWG, Cenesta, Tehran
- Bracken, J. (2005) *Eight Ps of Effective Facilitation Planning and Preparation*, Chpt 4 in: <u>The IAF Handbook of Group Facilitation</u>, (ed. S. Schuman) Jossey Bass
- Brown, Marcia and N. Salafsky (2004 unpublished) <u>Learning About Learning Networks</u>. Foundations of Success. <a href="http://www.fosonline.org/images/documents/Learning\_About\_Networks\_7\_July\_04.pdf">http://www.fosonline.org/images/documents/Learning\_About\_Networks\_7\_July\_04.pdf</a>
- Bush, Robert A. and Joseph P. Folger (1994) The Promise of Mediation, Jossey-Bass, San Francisco
- Cain, Susan The Power of Introverts (TEDtalk) <a href="http://www.ted.com/talks/susan\_cain\_the\_power\_of\_introverts.html">http://www.ted.com/talks/susan\_cain\_the\_power\_of\_introverts.html</a>
- Community Toolbox website: Section 5. Reframing the Issue <a href="http://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents/advocacy/encouragement-education/reframe-the-debate/main">http://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents/advocacy/encouragement-education/reframe-the-debate/main</a>
- Covey, S. R. (1989) *The 7 Habits of Highly Effective People* Simon and Schuster, New York
- Daniels, S. E. and G. B. Walker (2001) Working Through Environmental Conflict Praeger
- Forsyth, Daniel R. (2005) *Introduction to Group Dynamics* In: <u>Group Dynamics</u>, Cenage Learning 4<sup>th</sup> Edition
- Goleman, Daniel (2007) <u>Social Intelligence</u> Bantam Books, NY
- Indigenous Facilitation and Mediation Project (2004). *The Satisfaction Triangle: A Simple Measure for Negotiations and Decision Making*. (Unpublished). Native Title Research Unit, Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies, Canberra.
- Innes, J and David Booher. <u>Consensus Building and Complex Adaptive Systems</u>. APA Journal. Autumn 1999 Vol. 65, No. 4

- Kahneman, Daniel (TEDtalk) The riddle of experience vs. memory
   http://www.ted.com/talks/daniel\_kahneman\_the\_riddle\_of\_experience\_vs\_memory.html
- Kaner, S. with Lenny Lind, Catherine Toldi, Sarah Fisk and Duane Berger <u>Facilitator's guide to participatory</u> decision-making., Jossey-Bass. 401 pp. (2014) REQUIRED TEXT
- Kettering Foundation (2011) <u>Naming and Framing Difficult Issues to Make Sound Decisions</u> Kettering Foundation, Dayton Ohio
- Leach, William D. (2011) *Building a Theory of Collaboration*. Chpt 6 in: <u>Community-based Collaboration</u>; <u>Bridging Socio-Ecological Research and Practice</u> (ed. Franklin Dukes et. al.). Univ. Virginia Press
- Manktelow, James. Benne and Sheats' Group Roles: Identifying Both Positive and Negative Group Behavior Roles.
   MindTools website: <a href="https://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newTMM\_85.htm">https://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newTMM\_85.htm</a>
- McNamara, Carter (2007) <u>Field Guide to Nonprofit Strategic Planning and Facilitation</u>. Authenticity Consulting, Minneapolis
- Pruitt, B. and P. Thomas (2013) <u>Democratic Dialogue A Handbook for Practitioners</u>, IDEA, CIDA, UNDP, Stockholm
- Pyser, Steven N. *Effective Strategies for Designing and Facilitating Dialogue*. Chaper 13 in: (2005) <u>The IAF</u> Handbook of Group Facilitation Sandor (S. Schuman Editor) Jossey-Bass, San Francisco 2005
- Reed, Mark S., Stakeholder participation for environmental management: A literature review Biological Conservation 141 (2008) 2417-2431
- Sirolli, Ernesto (2012) Want to help someone? Shut up and listen! TEDtalk https://www.ted.com/talks/ernesto\_sirolli\_want\_to\_help\_someone\_shut\_up\_and\_listen?language=en
- The Philosophy of Socrates NPR Podcast 2/21/04:
   <a href="http://www.npr.org/player/v2/mediaPlayer.html?action=1&t=1&islist=false&id=1688260&m=1690960">http://www.npr.org/player/v2/mediaPlayer.html?action=1&t=1&islist=false&id=1688260&m=1690960</a>
- Tierney, John. (August 17, 2011). *Do you suffer from decision Fatigue?* New York Times. http://www.nytimes.com/2011/08/21/magazine/do-you-suffer-from-decision-fatigue.html?pagewanted=7&emc=eta1
- Tuecke, Patricia (2005) *The Architecture of Participation* Chpt 5 in: <u>The IAF Handbook of Group Facilitation</u>, (ed. S. Schuman) Jossey Bass
- Ury, William (1993) Don't reject: Reframe! Chpt 3 in: Getting Past No. Bantam, NY
- Vedantam, Shankar (Dec 17, 2015) Is Arguing With Passion The Most Effective Way To Persuade Opponents? NPR podcast: <a href="http://www.npr.org/2015/12/17/460082538/is-arguing-with-passion-the-most-effective-way-to-persuade-opponents">http://www.npr.org/2015/12/17/460082538/is-arguing-with-passion-the-most-effective-way-to-persuade-opponents</a>

- Vella, Jane (2002) <u>Learning to Listen, Learning To Teach</u> (Revised edition) Jossey-Bass, SanFrancisco
- Weisbord, Marvin and Sandra Janoff (2010). Keeping Difficult Situations from Becoming Difficult Groups In: <u>The Handbook for Working with Difficult groups</u> (S. Schuman Ed.) Jossey-Bass, SanFrancisco
- Wilkinson, Michael (sept 2015). The Three Reasons People Disagree (& What To Do About It). Master Facilitator
  Tips Blog <a href="http://www.leadstrat.com/blog/tuesdays-master-facilitation-tip-the-three-reasons-people-disagree-what-to-do-about-it/">http://www.leadstrat.com/blog/tuesdays-master-facilitation-tip-the-three-reasons-people-disagree-what-to-do-about-it/</a>
- Wollenberg, Eva (2000) <u>Anticipating Change: Scenarios as a tool for Adaptive Forest Management</u>. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia
- Wollenberg, E. David Edmunds and Louise Buck (2005) <u>Though all things differ: Pluralism as a basis for cooperation</u> <u>in forests</u>. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia
- Wooley, A, Malone T.W. and C.F. Chabris (Jan 16, 2015). Why some teams are smarter than others. New York Times Sunday Review.

Indigenous Facilitation and Mediation Project. 2004. The Satisfaction Triangle: A Simple Measure for Negotiations and Decision Making. (Unpublished). Native Title Research Unit, Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies, Canberra.